## **Not for Publication**

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

MERCK & CO., INC., et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

MERCK KGAA,

Defendant.

**Civil Action No.: 16-0266 (ES) (MAH)** 

**ORDER** 

## SALAS, DISTRICT JUDGE

This matter comes before the Court on Defendant Merck KGaA's motion for partial summary judgment pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56 (D.E. No. 186), on Plaintiffs Merck & Co., Inc. and Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp.'s claims for (i) common law breach of contract (Count Ten), (ii) false advertising under the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a)(1)(B) (Count Eight), (iii) deceptive trade practices under New Jersey law, N.J.S.A. § 56:8-2 (Count Nine), and (iv) trademark dilution under both the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(c) (Count Three), and New Jersey law, N.J.S.A. § 56:3-13.20 (Count Five), (D.E. No. 1 ("Complaint" or "Compl.")). Having considered the parties' submissions, the Court decides the motion without oral argument. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 78(b); L. Civ. R. 78.1(b). For the reasons set forth in the accompanying Opinion,

IT IS on this 30th day of September 2022,

**ORDERED** that Defendant's motion (D.E. No. 186) is **GRANTED-in-part** and **DENIED-in-part**; and it is further

**ORDERED** that Defendant's motion with respect to Count Nine for deceptive trade

practices under N.J.S.A. § 56:8-2 is **GRANTED**; and it is further

**ORDERED** that Defendant's motion is otherwise **DENIED**; and it is further

**ORDERED** that the Clerk of the Court maintain the Court's Opinion under temporary seal, pending further Order of the Court; and it is further

**ORDERED** that the parties submit joint proposed redactions to the Court's Opinion by October 31, 2022.

/s/ Esther Salas

Hon. Esther Salas, U.S.D.J.